



ASIAN AMERICANS
**ADVANCING
JUSTICE**
AAJC

VIA EMAIL

July 20, 2015

Ms. Karen Humes
Chief, Population Division
U.S. Census Bureau
Room 5H174
Washington, DC 20233
Email: POP.2020.Residence.Rule@census.gov

Re: 2020 Decennial Census Residence Rule and Residence Situations
(Document Citation: 80 FR 28950)

Dear Ms. Humes,

Asian Americans Advancing Justice | AAJC (Advancing Justice | AAJC) submits this comment in response to the Census Bureau's federal register notice regarding the Residence Rule and Residence Situations, 80 FR 28950 (May 20, 2015). We urge you to count incarcerated people at their home address, rather than at the particular facility that they happen to be located at on Census day.

Advancing Justice | AAJC is a national non-profit, non-partisan organization founded in 1991. Advancing Justice | AAJC's mission is to advance the human and civil rights of Asian Americans, and build and promote a fair and equitable society for all. Our wide-ranging efforts include promoting civic engagement, forging strong and safe communities and creating an inclusive society. Advancing Justice | AAJC is part of Asian Americans Advancing Justice (Advancing Justice), a national affiliation of five nonprofit organizations in Los Angeles and San Francisco, CA, Chicago, IL, Atlanta, GA and Washington, D.C. who joined to promote a fair and equitable society for all by working for civil and human rights and empowering Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and other underserved communities. Additionally, 120 organizations are involved in Advancing Justice's community partners network, serving communities in 29 states and the District of Columbia.

Together with the Advancing Justice affiliates and our Community Partners, AAJC has been extensively involved in improving the current level of political and civic engagement among Asian American communities and increasing Asian American access to the voting process. We work on enforcement and protection of the VRA and other voting statutes, protection of the vote, and

improvement of election administration. During the last redistricting cycle, we worked with the Advancing Justice affiliates and our local partners to ensure Asian American communities had a voice during the redistricting process and were able to work to keep their communities of interest together. Since those efforts, Advancing Justice | AAJC has been engaged in conversations around redistricting reform and ensuring proper representation for all.

We recognize that American demographics and living situations have changed drastically in the 225 years since the first Census, and the Census has evolved in response to many of these changes in order to continue to provide an accurate picture of the nation. Today, the growth in the prison population requires the Census to update its methodology again.

The need for change in the “usual residence” rule, as it relates to incarcerated persons, has been growing over the last few decades. As recently as the 1980s, the incarcerated population in the U.S. totaled less than half a million. But since then, the nation’s incarcerated population has more than quadrupled to over two million people. The Asian American and Pacific Islander (AAPI) prison population increased by 30 percent from 1999 to 2004 while the white prison population rose by only 2.5 percent. During the prison boom in the 1990s, the AAPI prison population grew 250 percent to the overall prison population’s 77 percent. And a closer look at disaggregated data shows that mass incarceration has increasingly become more of an issue for specific AAPI communities. For example, according to a study by the Office of Hawaiian Affairs in 2010, Native Hawaiians comprised about 39 percent of Hawaii's state prison population in comparison to the state's overall Native Hawaiian population of 24 percent.¹ In California, a study found that 64.6 percent of the state’s AAPI prisoners were immigrants and refugees. The largest populations among them were Vietnamese (22 percent) and Filipino (19.8 percent), followed by Pacific Islanders (9.9 percent) and Laotians (8.5 percent).² Thus, the manner in which this population is counted now has huge implications for the accuracy of the Census.

By designating a prison cell as a residence in the 2010 Census, the Census Bureau concentrated a population that is disproportionately male, urban, and African-American or Latino into just 5,393 Census blocks that are located far from the actual homes of incarcerated people. In Illinois, for example, 60% of incarcerated people have their home residences in Cook County (Chicago), yet the Bureau counted 99% of them as if they resided outside Cook County.

When this data is used for redistricting, prisons artificially inflate the political power of the areas where the prisons are located. In New York after the 2000 Census, for example, seven state senate districts only met population requirements because the Census counted incarcerated people as if they were upstate residents. For this reason, New York State passed legislation to

¹ Office of Hawaiian Affairs, Justice Policy Institute, University of Hawai‘i and Georgetown University, Report: The Disparate Treatment of Native Hawaiians in the Criminal Justice System, available at <http://www.oha.org/governance/criminal-justice/>

² Sarita Ahuja and Robert Chlala, Asian Americans/Pacific Islanders in Philanthropy, Widening the Lens on Boys and Men of Color: California AAPI & AMEMSA Perspectives, available at http://www.asianprisonersupport.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/aapiip_bymoc-final.screen.pdf.



adjust the population data after the 2010 Census to count incarcerated people at home for redistricting purposes.

New York State is not the only jurisdiction taking action. Three other states (California, Delaware, and Maryland) are taking a similar state-wide approach, and over 200 counties and municipalities all individually adjust population data to avoid prison gerrymandering when drawing their local government districts.

But this ad hoc approach is neither efficient nor universally implementable. The Massachusetts legislature, for example, concluded that the state constitution did not allow it to pass similar legislation, so it sent the Bureau a resolution in 2014 urging the Bureau to tabulate incarcerated persons at their home addresses. See The Massachusetts General Court Resolution “Urging the Census Bureau to Provide Redistricting Data that Counts Prisoners in a Manner Consistent with the Principles of ‘One Person, One Vote’” (Adopted by the Senate on July 31, 2014 and the House of Representatives on August 14, 2014).

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the Residence Rule and Residence Situations as the Bureau strives to count everyone in the right place in keeping with changes in society and population realities. Because Advancing Justice | AAJC believes in a population count that accurately represents communities, we urge you to count incarcerated people as residents of their home address.

Sincerely,



Terry A. Minnis
Director of Census & Voting Programs
Asian Americans Advancing Justice | AAJC

