The Supreme Court requires local governments to update their legislative districts once per decade so that each district contains the same population, giving each resident equal representation. For most local governments this redistricting process relies on U.S. Census data and is straightforward. For communities that host large prisons, however, the process can be more complex because the U.S. Census Bureau counts incarcerated people where they are confined, even though a prison is not a residence under Wisconsin residence law.

Problem

The Census Bureau counts people incarcerated at a correctional facility as residents of the prison, so when Jackson County uses Census data to draw its legislative districts, the Board of Commissioners district with the prison in it will have fewer actual Jackson County residents than the other districts. This means that the county is — albeit unintentionally — giving people who live near the prison more representation on the Jackson County Board of Commissioners, in violation of the constitutional principle of equal representation.

- As counted in the 2010 Census, Jackson Correctional Institution (pop 988) was almost as large as a single district (average pop 1,076). Rejecting the idea of drawing a district that was almost entirely incarcerated, the County split the prison between four districts: 12, 16, 17 and 19.

- The incarcerated population accounts for 51% of District 12 and 18% of District 16, 16% of District 17, and 18% of District 19.

- That effectively gives each group of 49 actual residents in District 12 as much political clout as 100 people who live in the districts that do not contain prisons. (The residents of Districts 16, 17, and 19 have their votes similarly inflated, but even their votes count for less than those of anyone residing in District 12.)

Solutions

In most states, the solution would be simple: use redistricting data that complies with the state’s definition of residence. In our research, we’ve discovered more than 200 county and municipal governments that removed the prison population prior to redistricting. Most of these local governments do so by choice, and a few states even require or encourage this outcome.
In 1981, however, Wisconsin’s Attorney General recommended that local governments take the Census’ data at face value and not make any adjustments to account for the prison miscount (70 Wis. Op. Atty. Gen. 80 (1981)). Nevertheless, after the 2010 Census, six Wisconsin cities and counties — Crawford County and the cities of New Lisbon, Portage, Prairie du Chien, and Stanley — avoided prison gerrymandering.

For 2020, these local efforts will be easier than ever before because the Census Bureau is including additional detail within its redistricting data. The Census Bureau will be, for the first time, publishing counts of incarcerated people in a special table in the PL94-171 redistricting data file — specifically to help, in the Census Bureau’s words, “those in the redistricting community who must consider whether to include or exclude certain populations when redrawing boundaries.”

Last decade Jackson County lessened the impact of prison gerrymandering by splitting the correctional facilities between different districts, ensuring that no single district contained all of the extra population. This decade you can take it one step further: Jackson County can use the Census Bureau’s official redistricting data to draw districts based on actual resident populations and ensure equal representation for its residents.